А. •		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	narv	10/077,986	,	YU, HUA	
Cxammer-indiated interview Summi		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Marc S. Zimmer		1712	
All Participants:		Status of Application	on: <u>allow</u>	<u>ed</u>	
(1) <u>Marc S. Zimmer</u> .		(3)			
(2) Kenneth Wheelock.		(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>1 December 2003</u>		Time:			
	☐ Applic] No	ant's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
1/					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS [DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. Th separate	e examiner will provide record of the substance	a written of the in	summary of the terview, since the	e substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	r/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that, in the Examiner's view, it would be necessary to amend claim 11 to require that component (3) was in possession of at least two silicon-bound hydrogen groups because, otherwise, it could not carry out its intended function as a crosslinker. Applicant agreed and requested that the appropriate changes be made by way of Examiner's amendment..